



Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT)

Sehr geehrter Herr
Prof. Dr. Alexander Mädche (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Dr. Mädche,

mit diesem Schreiben erhalten Sie die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung „Digital Transformation of Service Systems“.

Ihre Lehrveranstaltung „Digital Transformation of Service Systems“ hat den Lehrqualitätsindex
LQI = 100.

Die Auswertung zu Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung gliedert sich in folgende Abschnitte:
Zu Beginn der Auswertung werden die Ergebnisse der Befragung in Form von Häufigkeitstabellen dargestellt. Bei allen Fragen wird die Anzahl der abgegebenen Antworten (n) angezeigt. Bei den 5er-Skalafragen finden Sie zusätzlich neben dem Histogramm den Mittelwert (mw) und die Standardabweichung (s) der jeweiligen Frage. Neben manchen Fragen finden Sie zudem ein Ampelsymbol abgebildet. Diese Fragen dienen der Qualitätssicherung der Lehre. Im vorletzten Teil werden sämtliche 5er-Skalenfragen in einem Profilliniendiagramm abgebildet. Zuletzt sind die Antworten zu den offenen Fragen aufgelistet.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen,
Ihr Evaluationsteam

Prof. Dr. Alexander Mädche

Digital Transformation of Service Systems (55)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 17


Periode: **WS 17/18**



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Frage
text



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung



Qualitätsindex

Erklärung der Ampelsymbole



Der Mittelwert liegt unterhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.




Der Mittelwert liegt im Toleranzbereich der Qualitätsrichtlinie.




Der Mittelwert liegt innerhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.

1. Questions concerning the studies

1.1) Which program do you study?




1.2) How many hours per week have you put, on average, into your preparation and follow-up for this course, so far?




2. Questions concerning the lectures


2.1) My commitment to this course is reflected by: (multiple choice)




2.2) How do you assess the participation of your colleagues in the course?



2.3) How much do you enjoy attending this course?




2.4) Coordination of the contents of this course with that of other courses in my curriculum is




Please rate the lecture regarding to the following aspects:


2.5) Contents




2.6) Scope




2.7) Previous knowledge required




2.8) Speed




2.9) Clarity (helpful examples)




2.10) Suitability of teaching and learning materials




2.11) Do you understand the importance of the course contents to your further studies?




2.12) The course enhances (multiple choice)




2.13) I learn a lot during this course




2.14) Was the lecture material of good quality



2.15) Were you able to understand the lecturer in terms of language




2.16) Were you content with the rate of interactive elements such as team work, case studies and exercises in the lecture?




3. Questions concerning the room conditions


3.1) The size of the room in comparison to the number of course participants is



3.2) The acoustics in this room is




3.3) View conditions in this room are




5. Monitoring


5.1) Please rate the course as a whole




5.2) How large is the the amount of work for this course?




5.3) The amount of work required for this course is...




5.4) How is the course structured?



5.5) Does the lecturer appear dedicated and motivated during the course?



5.6) Is the lecturer responsive to questions and concerns of the students?




Thank you for your cooperation!
For more information see: www.sek.kit.edu/eval-info

Profillinie


Teilbereich: 01. WS 2017/18 Hector School
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Alexander Mädche
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Digital Transformation of Service Systems
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

2. Questions concerning the lectures




3. Questions concerning the room conditions



5. Monitoring





Mario Nadj

Digital Transformation of Service Systems (57)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 16


Periode: **WS 17/18**



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Frage**text**



Erklärung der Ampelsymbole



Der Mittelwert liegt unterhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.




Der Mittelwert liegt im Toleranzbereich der Qualitätsrichtlinie.




Der Mittelwert liegt innerhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.

1. Questions concerning the studies

1.1) Which program do you study?




1.2) How many hours per week have you put, on average, into your preparation and follow-up for this course, so far?




2. Questions concerning the lectures


2.1) My commitment to this course is reflected by: (multiple choice)




2.2) How do you assess the participation of your colleagues in the course?



2.3) How much do you enjoy attending this course?




2.4) Coordination of the contents of this course with that of other courses in my curriculum is




Please rate the lecture regarding to the following aspects:


2.5) Contents




2.6) Scope




2.7) Previous knowledge required




2.8) Speed




2.9) Clarity (helpful examples)




2.10) Suitability of teaching and learning materials




2.11) Do you understand the importance of the course contents to your further studies?




2.12) The course enhances (multiple choice)




2.13) I learn a lot during this course




2.14) Was the lecture material of good quality



2.15) Were you able to understand the lecturer in terms of language




2.16) Were you content with the rate of interactive elements such as team work, case studies and exercises in the lecture?




3. Questions concerning the room conditions


3.1) The size of the room in comparison to the number of course participants is



3.2) The acoustics in this room is




3.3) View conditions in this room are




5. Monitoring


5.1) Please rate the course as a whole



5.2) How large is the the amount of work for this course?




5.3) The amount of work required for this course is...




5.4) How is the course structured?



5.5) Does the lecturer appear dedicated and motivated during the course?



5.6) Is the lecturer responsive to questions and concerns of the students?




Thank you for your cooperation!
For more information see: www.sek.kit.edu/eval-info

Profillinie


Teilbereich: 01. WS 2017/18 Hector School
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Mario Nadj
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Digital Transformation of Service Systems
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

2. Questions concerning the lectures



3. Questions concerning the room conditions



5. Monitoring

